



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/585,793

07/12/2006

Masahide Shima

03200PCT

6046

23165 7590 06/03/2008

ROBERT J JACOBSON PA
650 BRIMHALL STREET SOUTH
ST PAUL, MN 551161511

EXAMINER

CHO, JENNIFER Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/03/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/585,793	Applicant(s) SHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner JENNIFER Y. CHO	Art Unit 1621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JENNIFER Y. CHO.

(3) Robert Jacobson.

(2) Yvonne Eyler.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7-11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Unverricht et al. (US 6,525,217) and Hoyt et al. (US2,558,520).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amendments and response (herein attached) was discussed and if the proposed amendments would overcome the 103 rejection. Further claim amendments were also discussed. We suggested the Applicant submit additional arguments and/or evidence against the combination of references in the 103 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yvonne Eyler/ Yvonne Eyler, SPE AU 1621

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required